File Number: 1075-CA

DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY Original Application

As below named inventor, I declare that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment specifically referred to in this Declaration, that the information given herein is true, that I believe that I am the original, first and joint inventor of the invention entitled:

METHODS AND SYSTEMS FOR RAISING A NUMERICAL VALUE TO A FRACTIONAL POWER

which	n is described	and claimed	l in:				
	the attached	specification	n or				
	the specifica	tion in appli	cation Seria	l No/_		filed	, 199
that I	acknowledge						
Secti	on 1.56 and de	efined on th	e attached	sheet, whi	ich is m	aterial to	the examination of
this a	pplication, tha	t I do not kr	now and do	not believ	e the sa	ame was	ever known or
							eof or patented or
desc	ribed in any pri	nted publica	ation in any	country b	efore m	y or our i	invention thereof,
or mo	ore than one ye	ear prior to	this applicat	ion, that t	he inve	ntion has	not been patented
							e of this application
							ion filed by me or
-	-		_			•	this application
							me or my legal
							s of America, the
							the filing date of
						welve mo	onths prior to the
ming	date of this ap	plication, if	any, are ide	entified be	IOW.		
	CHECK APE	ROPRIATE	BOX:				
	•						
	no earlier-file	d foreign a	pplications.				
							e filing date of this
	application is	on page _	_ attached h	nereto and	d made	a part he	reof.
POW	ER OF ATTO	RNEY:					
As a	named invento	r, I hereby	appoint the	following	attorne	y(s) and/d	or agent(s) to
-	• •		transact all	business i	in the P	atent and	Trademark Office
	ected therewitl						
	E REGI						
Peter	· Rutkowski	32.6	327				

Dan A. Shifrin

34,473

PATENT APPLICATION

File Number: 1075-CA

Steven Lin	35,250
Scott Thomas	39,855
Frank D. Nguyen	39,790
James J. Murphy	34,503
Donoughue, Tim	46,668
Ehrlich, Henry L.	39,663
Garsson, Ross S.	38,150
Kordzik, Kelly K.	36,571
Mason, Dwayne	38,959
Newberger, Barry	41,527
Rogers, Charles J.	38,286
Schwartz, Richard L.	27,227
Shaddox, Robert C.	34,011
Warden, Thomas L.	47,165

SEND CORRESPONDENCE TO:

James J. Murphy, Esq.

WINSTEAD SECHREST & MINICK

5400 Renaissance Tower

1201 Elm

Dallas, Texas 75270

DIRECT TELEPHONE CALLS TO:

James J. Murphy

Phone: (214) 745-5374 Fax: (214) 745-5390

Winstead Sechrest & Minick's customer number is 23-2426.

(201) FULL NAME OF INVENTOR	LAST NAME Rao	FIRST NAME Raghunath	MIDDLÉ NAME	
RESIDENCE & CITIZENSHIP	CITY Austin	STATE OR FOREIGN COUNTRY Texas, USA	COUNTRY OF CITIZENSHIP India	
POST OFFICE ADDRESS	POST OFFICE ADDRESS 9529 Sanford Drive	CITY Austin	STATE OR COUNTRY USA	ZIP CODE 78748
(202) FULL NAME OF INVENTOR	LAST NAME SUBRAMANIAM	FIRST NAME Girish	MIDDLE NAME	
RESIDENCE & CITIZENSHIP	Austin STATE OR FOREIGN COUNTRY Texas, USA		COUNTRY OF CITIZENSHIP India	
POST OFFICE ADDRESS	POST OFFICE ADDRESS 2309 Berkeley Avenue, Apt. 2309,	CITY Austin	STATE OR COUNTRY USA	ZIP CODE 78745

birth

PATENT APPLICATION

File Number: 1075-CA

I further declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing thereon.

Name (203) Raghunath RAO	Signature, Raghunah Ras	Date /25/1
Name (202) Girish SUBRAMANIAM	Signature Lunck.	Date Jan. 25, 2001

::ODMA\PCDOCS\DALLAS_1\3406714\1 233:2836-p125us



PATENT APPLICATION

File Number: 1075-CA

Section 1.56 Duty to Disclose Information Material to Patentability

- A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by Sections 1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applications to carefully examine:
 - (1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
 - (2) the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record of being made of record in the application, and
 - (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
 - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the application takes in:
 - (i) opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of patentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any considerations given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- © Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
 - (1) Each inventor named in the application;
 - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
 - (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent or inventor.

::ODMA\PCDOCS\DALLAS_1\3406714\1

233:2836-125US